## SG Will Argue In SC Congressional Map, Whistleblower Cases

By **Katie Buehler** · ( Listen to article

new congressional map.

District to maintain its Republican tilt.

Law360 (September 26, 2023, 7:28 PM EDT) -- The U.S. Supreme Court on Tuesday granted the solicitor general's request to participate in two October oral argument sessions related to disputes over whether a whistleblower is required to prove his former employer intended to retaliate against him, and the constitutionality of South Carolina's congressional map.

The justices granted Solicitor General Elizabeth B. Prelogar's requests for 10 minutes of the court's time in both suits, according to a short order. An attorney from Prelogar's office will argue against the whistleblower requirement on Oct. 10 and against South Carolina's new map on Oct. 11.

In the whistleblower suit, Murray v. UBS Securities LLC et al. (1), former UBS employee and whistleblower Trevor Murray has asked the justices to reverse a Second Circuit panel's August 2022 decision wiping out a \$900,000 jury verdict in his retaliation suit. Murray claims UBS fired him after he told supervisors he was being pressured to alter research on the bank's commercial mortgage-backed securities business.

instruct the jury that Murray was required under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act's whistleblower provision to prove UBS terminated him as payback for complaining about the pressure.

Murray argues the circuit court panel wrongly determined that the trial court judge failed to

as part of a round of layoffs due to poor financial performance in 2011 and not because of his complaint.

The U.S. intends to argue in favor of Murray, Prelogar told the high court in an Aug. 15 filing. The

U.S. Department of Labor and its administrative review board have long interpreted the act to

UBS contends the verdict shouldn't stand without a jury finding of intent, claiming it fired Murray

require no showing of retaliatory intent, she said. In the South Carolina case, Alexander et al. v. The South Carolina State Conference of the NAACP et al. (1), the NAACP and several Black voters are challenging the constitutionality of the state's

The voters argue the map dilutes the voting power of Black residents in violation of the First and Fourteenth amendments by moving tens of thousands of Black voters out of South Carolina's 1st

South Carolina lawmakers claim a judicial panel, that found the congressional district unconstitutional, reverse-engineered a race-based intent behind the redistricting even though evidence allegedly shows the divide was created using political data.

The U.S. intends to argue in favor of the Black voters, Prelogar told the court in an Aug. 16 filing. The U.S. Department of Justice is charged with enforcing the Voting Rights Act, which also seeks to combat racial discrimination, she said.

evidence and cannot be overturned. Murray is represented by Robert L. Herbst and Benjamin J. Ashmore Sr. of Herbst Law PLLC,

The DOJ also filed a July amicus brief in the case arguing the panel's decision is supported by

Robert B. Stulberg and Patrick J. Walsh of Stulberg & Walsh LLP, Easha Anand and Pamela S. Karlan of the Stanford Law School Supreme Court Litigation Clinic, and Scott A. Korenbaum.

Anna L. Casey of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP. The South Carolina lawmakers are represented by John M. Gore, Joseph P. Falvey and Ryan M.

UBS is represented by Eugene Scalia, Gabrielle Levin, Thomas G. Hungar, Andrew G.I. Kilberg and

Proctor of Jones Day, Robert E. Tyson Jr. and Vordman Carlile Traywick III of Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte LLC, William W. Wilkins, Andrew A. Mathias, Mark C. Moore, Hamilton B. Barber and Michael A. Parente of Maynard Nexsen PC, and M. Elizabeth Crum and Michael R. Burchstead of Burr & Forman LLP.

John S. Cusick, Santino Coleman and Antonio L. Ingram II of the NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund Inc., Adriel I. Cepeda Derieux, Ming Cheung, Davin M. Rosborough, Sophia Lin Lakin, David D. Cole, Patricia Yan and Cecillia D. Wang of the American Civil Liberties Union, Allen Chaney of the ACLU of South Carolina, John A. Freedman, Elizabeth S. Theodore, Stephen K. Wirth, John M. Hindley, Gina M. Colarusso, Jeffrey A. Fuisz, Andrew R. Hirschel and Sarah M. Gryll of Arnold & Porter, and Christopher J. Bryant of Bryant Legal LLC.

The NAACP is represented by Janai S. Nelson, Samuel Spital, Leah C. Aden, Raymond Audain,

Supreme Court of the United States.

The whistleblower case is Murray v. UBS Securities LLC et al., case number 22-660, in the

et al., case number 22-807, in the Supreme Court of the United States.

The South Carolina case is Alexander et al. v. The South Carolina State Conference of the NAACP

--Editing by Lakshna Mehta.

For a reprint of this article, please contact reprints@law360.com. **0** Comments

Sign In To Comment

**Related Articles** 

High Court Told SC Map Is 'Textbook' Racial Gerrymandering

US Tells Justices SC Race Gerrymandering Ruling Must Stand SC GOP Asks Justices To Toss Race Gerrymandering Ruling

**Supreme Court To Review SC Gerrymandering Dispute** 

Fla. High Court Told Congressional Maps Need More Changes

Here's What You Missed New Biden Picks Include Prosecutor For 1st Circ.

COVERAGE RECAP: Day 2 Of Sam Bankman-Fried's Trial

2nd Circ. Sends Google Ad Tech Case Back To Texas

Litigation Report Highlights 'Fearsome Foursome,' Spending Trends

**COVERAGE RECAP: Day 3 Of Trump's NY Civil Fraud Trial** 

Foley & Lardner Says Recruiter Owes Refund After Hire's Exit

**Retired SDNY Judge John Martin Joins Raines Feldman** 

Latham Leads M&A As Global Deals Fall To 10-Year Low

Senate Elevates Magistrate Judge To Md. District Bench

**Trump's Attacks May Goad Courts Into 'Constitutional Crisis'** 

**Ex-Navy GC's New Boutique Adds 2 National Security Experts** 

Mintz Partner Among 2 Tapped For Mass. Superior Court

Polsinelli Adds Three-Atty Markets Team From Bradley Arant

Lawmakers Bash UnitedHealth's M&A Tactics In Letter To DOJ

In-House Expert Joins Loeb & Loeb Corporate Team

Full 2nd Circ. Won't Rethink \$312K Sanctions In WWE Suit

6 Things To Know About Conn. Judge Pick Sarah F. Russell

Airbit Co-Founder Gets 3 Years, 4 Mos. For Crypto Fraud

New York Bans Prop-Style Fantasy Sports Contests

Ore. District Judge Nominee Takes Heat From GOP Sens.

**Attached Documents** 

South Carolina Request

Murray Request

**Useful Tools & Links** 

Save to PDF & Print Rights/Reprints

Editorial Contacts

Add to Briefcase

**Related Sections** 

Appellate Banking Compliance Connecticut Corporate **Employment**   $\subseteq$ 

 $\subseteq$ 

 $\subseteq$ 

 $\square$ 

 $\square$ 

**New York Public Policy** 

Case Title

**Case Information** 

Trevor Murray, Petitioner v. UBS Securities, LLC, et al.

**Case Number** 22-660

Court

Supreme Court

**Nature of Suit** 3790 LABOR LAWS-Other Litigation

Thomas C. Alexander, in His Official Capacity as President of the South Carolina Senate, et al., Appellants v. The South Carolina State Conference of the NAACP, et

22-807

Court Supreme Court

**Bryant Legal LLC Burr & Forman** Gibson Dunn

Herbst Law PLLC **Jones Day** Maynard Nexsen

American Civil Liberties Union of South Carolina

Fund Inc.

U.S. Department of Justice

**Government Agencies** 

U.S. Department of Labor U.S. Supreme Court

Law360 MVP Awards Go To 171 Attys At 76 Firms The attorneys chosen as Law360's 2023 MVPs have

distinguished themselves from their peers by securing hard-earned successes in high-stakes litigation, complex global matters and record-breaking deals.

Top 10 trending in Appellate New Biden Picks Include Prosecutor For 1st

**Funding Case** 

Circ. Justices Appear Wary Of Nixing CFPB's

Funding Mechanism Jackson, Alito Spar Over Burden In CFPB

2nd Circ. Sends Google Ad Tech Case Back To Texas

NJ Appeals Court Reverses \$224M Talc Verdict Against J&J

2nd Circ. Asks Google Why Ad Tech Suit

Should Stay In NY Music Co. Wants 2nd Circ. To Revive 'Let's

Get It On' Row SF Mayor Asks Justices To Review

MVP: Hogan Lovells' Jessica Ellsworth

Calif. Seeks To Weigh In On Nazi-Theft

**Homelessness Case** 

**Art Case** 

**Date Filed** January 18, 2023 **Case Title Case Number** Nature of Suit **Date Filed** February 27, 2023 Law Firms **Arnold & Porter Robinson Gray** Stulberg & Walsh **Companies American Civil Liberties Union** NAACP Legal Defense and Educational National Association for the Advancement of Colored People

LexisNexis\*

**RELX**™